

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/748,	935 11/1:	3/96 IMAI	S	44085-32	
020277	•	LM02/0707 7	EXAMINER		
MCDERMO 600 13T	T WILL & EMERY	MERY	NGUYEI	NGUYEN, T	
600 13TH STREET NO WASHINGTON DC 2000!		W 5-3094	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3 3076	2772	10	
			DATE MAILED:	07/07/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/748,935 ai; F

ai; Fujii; Fujiwara; Sakagawa; Nakagawa; Matsuu Group Art Unit

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Thu Nguyen

2772



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 2, 1999	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>three</u> month(s is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained 37 CFR 1.136(a).	for reseases will as as at
Disposition of Claims	
	ending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) is/are wit	
Claim(s)is/	
☐ Claim(s)is/a	
☐ Claims are subject to restrictio	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
The proposed drawing constitution (1)	isapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	•
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have □ received.	e be en
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 2772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 In claim 25, line 7, the word "grope" should be changed to "group".
 - Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Letcher, Jr. (U.S Patent No. 5,627,949).

As per claim 23, Letcher teaches a computer implemented method of generating a three-dimensional form data. The method comprises the steps of: generating a plurality of lines and projecting the lines to generate a group of curves along a surface of a three dimensional form model (fig.27; col.12, lines 41-43; col.15, lines 60-67; col.16, lines 1-23); and modifying the group of curves by moving a curve in the group (col.3, lines 50-63; col.16, lines 30-37 and col.13, lines 57-67). Letcher does not explicitly teach moving a curve or curves along a surface of

Art Unit: 2772

Page 3

the three dimensional form model. However, Letcher teaches changing the coordinate of a control point of a curve on the surface of the model (col.16, lines 5-8 and lines 30-37), it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that when a control point of a curve is changed, the curve is changed according to the control point. Since the curve depends on the surface of the model, when the curve is changed, the surface of the model must change to contain the curve, this fact implies that the curve change along the surface of the model as claimed.

As per claim 24-25, refer to discussion in claim 23 above. Further, Letcher teaches adding a curve to the group of curves as claimed (col.13, lines 63-65) and deleting a curves from the group of curves as claimed (col.13, lines 65-67).

As per claim 26-28, refer to discussion in claims 23-25 above. The claimed software and medium for executing the program is the extend of the claimed method above.

As per claim 1, refer to discussion in claim 23 above. Further, Letcher teaches generating horizontal two-dimensional closed curves as claimed (col.16, lines 1-5).

As per claim 2, Letcher teaches parametric curve group (col.9, lines 24-30).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2772

As per claim 3, Letcher teaches moving the control points of the parametric curve group (col.16, lines 30-38).

As per claim 5, Letcher teaches spline curve group (col.16, lines 1-3).

As per claim 4 and 6, using display device to generate the three-dimensional form data would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

As per claim 7-8, refer to discussion in claims 1 and 24 above.

As per claim 9, refer to discussion in claim 1 above. The claimed computer medium is the extent of the claimed method of claim 1 above.

As per claim 10-12, the claimed memory devices would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

As per claim 13-22, refer to discussion in claim 7-13 above.

Art Unit: 2772

Response to Amendment

4. The indicated allowability of claims 1-22 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Letcher, Jr. (U.S Patent No. 5,627,949). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) is discussed under 35 USC 103 rejection above.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm ET.

Art Unit: 2772

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Powell, can be reached on (703) 305-9703. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)308-6606.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

NTV

June 29, 1999

MARK R. POWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 2700